## Synthesis of some 4-arylidenamino-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiols and their antituberculosis activity

### AHMET ÖZDEMIR<sup>1</sup>, GULHAN TURAN-ZITOUNI<sup>1</sup>, ZAFER ASIM KAPLANCIKLI<sup>1</sup>, & PIERRE CHEVALLET<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 26470 Eskisehir, Turkey, and <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Montpellier UniversityI, LAAP, CNRS-UMR 5810, 34000, Montpellier, France

(Received 5 November 2006; in final form 30 November 2006)

#### Abstract

The increasing clinical importance of drug-resistant mycobacterial pathogens has lent additional urgency to microbiological research and new antimycobacterial compound development. For this purpose, new triazoles were synthesized and evaluated for antituberculosis activity. A series of 4-arylidenamino-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol derivatives (2a-n) were synthesized from the treatment of 4-amino-4H-1,2,4-triazoles-3-thiol (1) with the respective aldehydes and were evaluated for antituberculosis activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294), using the BACTEC 460 radiometric system and BACTEC 12B medium. Compound **2k** showed an intereting activity at 6.25 µg/mL with a 87 percentage inhibition.

Keywords: 4-Arylidenamino-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol, mycobacterium, tuberculosis, antimycobacterials

#### Introduction

Humankind's battle with tuberculosis (TB) dates back to antiquity. TB, which is caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, was a much more prevalent disease in the past than it is today, and it was responsible for the deaths of about one billion people during the last two centuries [1]. TB is on the increase in recent years, largely owing to HIV infection, immigration, increased trade, and globalization [2]. The increasing emergence of drug-resistant TB, especially multidrugresistant TB (MDR-TB, resistant to at least two frontline drugs such as isoniazid and rifampicin), is particularly alarming and standard TB therapy is ineffective in controlling MDR-TB in high MDR-TB incidence areas [3-4]. There is much concern that the TB situation may become even worse with the spread of HIV worldwide, a virus that weakens the host immune system and allows latent TB to reactivate so making the person more susceptible to re-infection

with either drug-susceptible or drug resistant strains. The lethal combination of drug-resistant TB and HIV infection is a growing problem that presents serious challenges for effective TB control. In view of this situation, the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1993 declared TB a global emergency [5].

There is an urgent need to develop new TB drugs [6]. However, no new TB drugs have been developed over the last 40 years. Although TB can be cured with the current therapy, the six months needed to treat the disease is too long, and the treatment often has significant toxicity. These factors make patient compliance to therapy very difficult, and this noncompliance frequently selects for drug-resistant TB bacteria. The current TB problem clearly demonstrates the need for a re-evaluation of our knowledge of the current TB drugs and chemotherapy and the need for new and better drugs that are not only active against drug-resistant TB but also, more importantly, shorten the requirement for six months of therapy [7].

Correspondence. A. Özdemir, Anadolu University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 26470 Eskisehir, TURKEY. Tel.: 90 222 335 05 80 / 3774. Fax: 90 222 335 07 50. E-mail: ahmeto@anadolu.edu.tr

Earlier studies in our laboratory have identified various 1,2,4-triazole derivatives exhibiting chemotherapeutic properties against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [8]. As a continuation of our efforts to develope broad-spectrum chemotherapeutics, we undertook the present study to design, synthesize and evaluate 1,2,4-triazole analogues, as potential inhibitors of the opportunistic *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* microorganism.

#### Experimental

#### Chemistry

All reagents were used as purchased from commercial suppliers without further purification. Melting points were determined by using an Electrothermal 9100 digital melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. The compounds were checked for purity by TLC on silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  (Merck). Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer EAL 240 elemental analyser. Spectroscopic data were recorded on the following instruments: IR (KBr disc, v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) on a Shimadzu 435 IR spectrophotometer; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra ( $\delta$ , ppm, Hz) were recorded on a Bruker spectrometer (250 MHz) in DMSO- $d_6$  with TMS as an internal standard; MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>, on a VG Quattro mass spectrometer.

#### General procedure for synthesis of the compounds

4-Amino-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (1). A mixture of thiocarbohydrazide (0.1 mol) and

thiophene-2-acetic acid (0.1 mol) was heated in an oil bath at  $160-170^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. The fused mass thus obtained was dispersed with hot water to obtain the triazole. The product was recrystallized from methanol [8].

4-arylidenamino-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol derivatives (2a-n). To a suspension of arylaldehyde (5 mmol) in dioxane (10 ml), was added an equimolar amount of triazole (1). The suspension was heated until a clear solution was obtained. A few drops of conc. sulfuric acid were added as a catalyst and the solution was refluxed for 3 h on a water bath. The precipitated solid was filtered off and recrystallized from ethanol.

Some characteristics of the synthesized compounds are shown in Table I. Analytical and spectral data (IR, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, FAB<sup>+</sup>-MS) confirmed the structures of the new compounds.

4-(benzylideneamino)-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4triazole-3-thiol (**2a**): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3328 (N–H), 2568 (SH), 1630 (C=N), 1545, 1262, 1052, 950 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 723 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 4.35 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.91 – 7.93 (8H, m, aromatic protons), 10.05 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.85 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 300 (M<sup>+</sup>), 301 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 55.98 C, 4.03 H, 18.65 N; found: 56.11 C, 4.18 H, 18.71% N.

Table I. Some characteristics of compounds (2a-n).

# $R_1$ $R_2$ $R_1$ $R_2$

Comp.	$R_1$	$R_2$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_4$	Yield (%)	Molecular Formula	Mol. Wt.	M.P. (°C)
2a	Н	Н	Н	Н	61	$C_{14}H_{12}N_4S_2$	300	181-182
2b	Н	F	Н	Н	62	$C_{14}H_{11}FN_4S_2$	318	172 - 174
2c	Н	Cl	Н	Н	56	$C_{14}H_{11}ClN_4S_2$	334	212-214
2d	Н	$CH_3$	Н	Н	58	$C_{15}H_{14}N_4S_2$	314	187 - 189
2e	Н	OCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	Н	53	$C_{15}H_{14}N_4OS_2$	330	178 - 180
2f	Н	CN	Н	Н	54	$C_{15}H_{11}N_5S_2$	325	225-226
2 g		$O-CH_2-O$	Н	Н	55	$C_{15}H_{12}N_4O_2S_2$	344	205-207
2 h	Cl	Cl	Н	Н	50	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2N_4S_2$	368	208-210
2i	OH	$OCH_3$	Н	Н	52	$C_{15}H_{14}N_4O_2S_2$	346	202 - 204
2j	OH	OH	Н	Н	59	$C_{14}H_{12}N_4O_2S_2$	332	193-194
2k	$NO_2$	OH	Н	Н	61	$C_{14}H_{11}N_5O_3S_2$	361	190-192
21	Н	$OCH_3$	$O - CH_2 - C_6H_5$	Н	57	$C_{22}H_{20}N_4O_2S_2$	436	198-200
2m	$OCH_3$	OCH <sub>3</sub>	OCH <sub>3</sub>	Н	63	$C_{17}H_{18}N_4O_3S_2$	390	162 - 164
2n	Н	N(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	Н	Cl	65	$C_{16}H_{16}ClN_5S_2$	377	224-226

4-[(4-fluorobenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2b): IR [( $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3330 (N–H), 2525 (SH), 1633 (C=N), 1535, 1260, 1050, 954 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 715 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$ ppm, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 4.39 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.94–8.10 (7H, m, aromatic protons), 10.10 (1H, s, – N=CH), 13.97 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 319 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 52.81 C, 3.48 H, 17.60 N; found: 52.96 C, 3.64 H, 17.67% N.

4-[(4-chlorobenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2c): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3339 (N–H), 2558 (SH), 1628 (C=N), 1535, 1265, 1053, 952 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 718 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$ ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 4.32 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.93–6.98 (2H, m, thiophene C<sub>3,4</sub>-H protons), 7.32–7.39 (1H, d  $\mathcal{J}$  = 4.17 Hz, thiophene C<sub>5</sub>-H), 7.60–7.70 (2H, d  $\mathcal{J}$  = 8.41 Hz, phenyl C<sub>2,6</sub>-H), 7.94–8.00 (2H, d  $\mathcal{J}$  = 8.45 Hz, phenyl C<sub>3,5</sub>-H), 10.12 (1H, s, –N=CH), 13.89 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 334 (M<sup>+</sup>), 335 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 336 (M<sup>+</sup> + 2). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 50.22 C, 3.31 H, 16.73 N; found: 50.30 C, 3.22 H, 16.69% N.

4-[(4-methylbenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2d): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3354 (N-H), 2562 (SH), 1621 (C=N), 1533, 1261, 1050, 950 (N-C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 709 (C-S-C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$ ppm, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.35 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.37 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.92-7.40 (5H, m, aromatic protons), 7.73-7.80 (2H, df = 8.06 Hz, phenyl C<sub>3,5</sub>-H), 9.92 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.84 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 314 (M<sup>+</sup>), 315 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 57.30 C, 4.49 H, 17.82 N; found: 57.34 C, 4.55 H, 17.84% N.

4-[(4-methoxybenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2e): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3357 (N-H), 2559 (SH), 1631 (C=N), 1538, 1260, 1049, 948 (N-C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 712 (C-S-C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$ ppm, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 3.85 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.36 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.94-7.11 (2H, m, thiophene C<sub>3,4</sub>-H protons), 7.13-7.23 (2H, d f = 8.76 Hz, phenyl C<sub>2,6</sub>-H), 7.40-7.48 (1H, dd f = 5.04, 1.15 Hz, thiophene C<sub>5</sub>-H), 7.94-8.00 (2H, d f = 8.76 Hz, phenyl C<sub>3,5</sub>-H), 9.85 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.85 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 331 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OS<sub>2</sub> calculated: 54.52 C, 4.27 H, 16.96 N; found: 54.55 C, 4.25 H, 16.95% N. 4-[(4-cyanobenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2f): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3339 (N–H), 2566 (SH), 1605 (C=N), 1545, 1260, 1050, 950 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 705 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 4.39 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.95–7.10 (2H, m, thiophene C<sub>3,4</sub>-H protons), 7.40–7.50 (1H, dd  $\mathcal{J}$  = 5.13, 1.13 Hz, thiophene C<sub>5</sub>-H), 8.00–8.10 (2H, d  $\mathcal{J}$  = 8.37 Hz, phenyl C<sub>2,6</sub>-H), 8.12–8.20 (2H, d  $\mathcal{J}$  = 8.35 Hz, phenyl C<sub>3,5</sub>-H), 10.50 (1H, s, – N=CH), 14.00 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 326 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 55.37 C, 3.41 H, 21.52 N; found: 55.49 C, 3.48 H, 21.48% N.

4-[(3,4-methylenedioxybenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienyl methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (**2g**): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3329 (N–H), 2530 (SH), 1621 (C=N), 1535, 1263, 1052, 952 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 713 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO- $d_{63}$ ): 4.40 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.25 (2H, s, O–CH<sub>2</sub>–O), 6.98–7.59 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 9.90 (1H, s, –N=CH), 13.90 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 345 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 52.31 C, 3.51 H, 16.27 N; found: 52.39 C, 3.55 H, 16.32% N.

4-[(3,4-dichlorobenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl) -4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (**2**h): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3349 (N-H), 2558 (SH), 1625 (C=N), 1535, 1260, 1051, 950 (N-C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 710 (C-S-C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$ ppm, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 4.40 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.95-8.20 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 10.20 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.98 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 369 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 370 (M<sup>+</sup> + 2), 371 (M<sup>+</sup> + 3). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 45.53 C, 2.73 H, 15.17 N; found: 45.65 C, 2.78 H, 15.21% N.

4-[(3-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thi enylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2i): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3359 (N–H), 3031 (OH), 2561 (SH), 1633 (C=N), 1533, 1261, 1050, 950 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 719 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 3.86 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.30 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.90–7.48 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 9.50 (1H, s, OH), 9.78 (1H, s, –N=CH), 13.77 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 346 (M<sup>+</sup>), 347 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 52.01 C, 4.07 H, 16.17 N; found: 51.94 C, 4.05 H, 16.14% N.

4-[(3,4-dihydroxybenzylidene)amino]-5-(2-thienyl methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2j): IR [v, cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3354 (N-H), 3058 (OH), 2560 (SH), 1625 (C=N), 1535, 1262, 1052, 952 (N-C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 717 (C-S-C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,): <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,): 4.37 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.95-8.49 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 9.53 (2H, s, OH), 10.02 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.96 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 333 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 50.59 C, 3.64 H, 16.85 N; found: 50.55 C, 3.60 H, 16.79% N.

4-[(3-nitro-4-hydroxybenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienyl methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2k): IR [ν, cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3361 (N–H), 3049 (OH), 2556 (SH), 1624 (C=N), 1535, 1264, 1053, 953 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 715 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, δ ppm, DMSO- $d_{63}$ ): 4.38 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.97–8.50 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 9.32 (1H, s, OH), 10.02 (1H, s, –N=CH), 13.96 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 362 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 46.53 C, 3.07 H, 19.38 N; found: 46.63 C, 3.05 H, 19.34% N.

4-[(4-methoxy-5-phenoxymethylbenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (21): IR [ν, cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3349 (N–H), 2566 (SH), 1615 (C=N), 1538, 1265, 1055, 955 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 711 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, δ ppm, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 3.89 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.37 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21 (2H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.92–7.68 (11H, m, aromatic protons), 9.88 (1H, s, –N=CH), 13.86 (1H, brs, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 437 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 60.53 C, 4.62 H, 12.83 N; found: 60.44 C, 4.65 H, 12.81% N.

4-[(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene) amino]-5-(2-thienyl methyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (**2m**): IR [ν, cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3345 (N−H), 2548 (SH), 1620 (C=N), 1532, 1258, 1058, 957 (N−C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 725 (C−S−C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, δ ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,): 3.89 (9H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.39 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.92–7.03 (2H, m, thiophene C<sub>3,4</sub>-H protons), 7.32 (2H, s, phenyl C<sub>2,6</sub>-H), 7.41–7.50 (1H, dd 𝔅 = 3.95, 1.14 Hz, thiophene C<sub>5</sub>-H), 10.04 (1H, s, −N=CH), 13.92 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 391 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1). For C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 52.29 C, 4.65 H, 14.35 N; found: 52.31 C, 4.72 H, 14.38% N.

4-[(4-dimethylamino-6-chlorobenzylidene) amino]-5-(2thienylmethyl)-4H-1,2,4-triazole-3-thiol (2n): IR [ $\nu$ , cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr]: 3347 (N–H), 2559 (SH), 1618 (C=N), 1531, 1266, 1052, 954 (N–C=S, amide I, II, III and IV bands), 719 (C–S–C of thiophene). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz,  $\delta$  ppm, DMSO- $d_{69}$ ): 3.13 (6H, s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 4.39 (2H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.78–8.10 (6H, m, aromatic protons), 10.34 (1H, s, -N=CH), 13.83 (1H, s, SH). MS-FAB<sup>+</sup>: m/z: 378 (M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 380 (M<sup>+</sup> + 3). For C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClN<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub> calculated: 50.85 C, 4.27 H, 18.53 N; found: 50.91 C, 4.30 H, 18.64% N.

#### Microbiology

In-vitro evaluation of antimycobacterial activity against mycobacterium tuberculosis  $H_{37}Rv$ . Antitubercular activities of the compounds were tested at the center of Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisiton & Coordinating Facility (TAACF). Compounds were tested for in-vitro antituberculosis activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294) at 6.25 µg/mL, in BACTEC 12B medium using a broth microdilution assay and the Microplate Alamar Blue Assay (MABA). Compounds exhibiting fluorescence were tested in the BACTEC 460 Radiometric System [9].

BACTEC radiometric method of susceptibility testing. Inocula for susceptibility testing were either from a positive BACTEC isolation vial with a growth index (GI) of 500 more, or suspension of organism isolated earlier on conventional medium. The culture was well mixed with a syringe and 0.1 mL of a positive BACTEC culture was added to each of the vials containing the test drugs. A drug vial contained rifampicin (0.25 µg/mL) was used as reference antituberculosis agent. A control vial was inoculated with a 1:100 microdilution of the culture. A suspension equivalent to a McFarland No.1 standard was prepared in the same manner as a BACTEC positive vial, when growth from a solid medium was used. Each vial was tested immediately on a BACTEC instrument to provide  $CO_2$  in the headspace. The vials were incubated at 37°C and tested daily with a BACTEC instrument. When the GI in the control read at least 30, the increase in GI ( $\Delta$ GI) from the previous day in the control was compared with that in the drug vial. The following formulae were used to interpret results:

$$\Delta GI \text{ control} > \Delta GI \text{ drug} = \text{Susceptible}$$

 $\Delta GI$  control  $< \Delta GI$  drug = Resistant

If a clear susceptibility pattern (the difference of  $\Delta GI$  of control and the drug bottle) was not seen at the time the control  $\Delta GI$  is 30, the vials were read for 1 or 2 additional days to establish a definite pattern of  $\Delta GI$  differences.

#### **Results and discussion**

In the present work, 14 new compounds were synthesized. The synthesic route for the compounds



Scheme 1. The general synthetic reaction.

is outlined in Scheme 1. For the synthesis of the title compounds, 4-amino-3-mercapto-5-(2-thienyl-methyl)-1,2,4-triazole (1) required as starting material was prepared for the first time by the reaction of thienyl-2-acetic acid with thiocarbohydrazide [10-12]. The reaction of equimolar quantities of these triazoles (1) with respective arylaldehydes in the presence of concentrated sulfuric acid in dioxane [12] resulted in the formation of the title compounds (**2a-n**) (Table I).

The structures of the obtained compounds were elucidated by spectral data. In the IR spectra, some significant stretching bands due N-H, S-H, C=N and N-C=S were at 3361-3328 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2568- $2525 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $1633-1605 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1545-950 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ respectively. The specific band of thiophene was observed at  $725-705 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Compounds **2a-n** exist as thiol-thione tautomers as indicated by their IR spectra which showed a band due to SH and four bands due to N-C=S I, II, III, IV. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra, the signal due to Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-Ar methylene protons, present in all compounds, appeared at 4.30-4.40 ppm as a singlet. The azomethine derivatives **2a-n** were characterized by the presence of the methine protons-N=CH- at 9.78-10.50 ppm as a singlet. The S-H proton was observed at 13.77-14.00 ppm as a singlet or broad singlet. All the other aromatic and aliphatic protons were observed in the expected regions. Mass spectra (MS (FAB)) of compounds showed  $M^+ + 1$  peaks in agreement with their molecular formula. All compounds gave satisfactory elemental analysis.

The antituberculosis activities of the synthesized compounds were screened *in-vitro* using a BACTEC 460 radiometric system against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv (ATCC 27294) at 6.25 µg/mL. The results of the biological evaluation, expressed as a percentage inhibition of the growth of mycobacterium, are summarized in Table II, and for the sake of comparison, the % inhibition for rifampicin, used as reference, is also included (Dose used = 0.25 µg/mL). All tested compounds proved to be less active than rifampicin against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H<sub>37</sub>Rv. The activity was affected by substituents on the phenyl ring. Thus, compound **2k** which including

Table II. Antituberculosis activity of the compounds.

Compounds	Dose used ( $\mu g/mL$ )	% inhibition
2a	6.25	36
2b	6.25	60
2c	6.25	54
2d	6.25	49
2e	6.25	54
2f	6.25	58
2 g	6.25	57
2 h	6.25	55
2i	6.25	44
2j	6.25	69
2k	6.25	87
21	6.25	63
2m	6.25	43
2n	6.25	58
Rifampicin	0.25	98

nitro-groups and hydroxyl groups on the phenyl showed the highest inhibition (87%). Other compounds showed varying degrees of inhibition between 36-69%. We concluded from our investigations that **2k** may be considered promising for the development of new antituberculosis agents.

#### Acknowledgements

The Authors are thankful to the Tuberculosis Antimicrobial Acquisition & Coordinating Facility (TAACF) in the USA for the *in vitro* evaluation of antimycobacterial activity.

#### References

- Ryan F. The forgotten plague. How the battle against tuberculosis was won and lost, p. 3. Boston: Little, Brown; 1993. p 460.
- [2] World Health Organization. The World Health Organization Global Tuberculosis Program. http://www.who.int/gtb/. 2003.
- [3] Kimerling ME, Kluge H, Vezhnina N, Iacovazzi T, Demeulenaere T, et al. Inadequacy of the current WHO retreatment regiment in central Siberian prisons: treatment

failure and MDR-TB. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 1999;3:451-453.

- [4] De Cock KM, Chaisson RE. Will DOTS do it? A reappraisal of tuberculosis control in countries with high rates of HIV infection. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 1999;3:457–465.
- [5] Tuberculosis: a global emergency. World Health Forum 1993; 14: 438.
- [6] Global Alliance for Tuberculosis Drug Development. Scientific blueprint for TB drug development. Tuberculosis 2001; 81(Suppl.): 1–52.
- [7] Zhang Y. The magic bullets and tuberculosis drug targets. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2005;45:529–564.
- [8] Kaplancıklı ZA, Turan-Zitouni G, Chevallet P. Synthesis and antituberculosis activity of new 3-alkylsulfanyl-1,2,4-triazole derivatives. J Eny Inhib Med Chem 2005;20:179–182.
- [9] Collins L, Franzblau SG. Microplate alamar blue assay versus BACTEC 460 system for high-throughput screening of compounds against Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Mycobacterium avium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1997;41: 1004–1009.
- [10] Masao K, Hiroshi T. 1,2,4-Triazole derivatives. Jpn. Pat, 21, (1986) 420, Chem. Abst. 1986; 105: 642097.
- [11] Turan-Zitouni G, Kaplancıklı ZA, Kılıç FS, Erol K. The synthesis of some triazolylphenothiazine derivatives and their antidepressant and anxiolytic activities. Boll Chim Farm 2002; 141:192–196.
- [12] Turan-Zitouni G, Kaplancıklı ZA, Erol K, Kılıç FS. Synthesis and analgesic activity of some triazoles and triazolothiadiazines. Il Farmaco 1999;54:218–223.